



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER 067221374	FILING DATE 11/18/97	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT PICKENS	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO. T
----------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------

ROBERTS & BROWNELL
8381 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD
SUITE 212
VIENNA VA 22182

MM21/0526

EXAMINER TREMBLAY, M

ART UNIT 2875	PAPER NUMBER 6
------------------	-------------------

DATE MAILED: 05/26/99

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jon L. Roberts (31293) (3) Mark Tremblay (PTO)
(2) Thomas Corrado (42,439) (4) _____

Date of interview 4/6/99

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1-27

Identification of prior art discussed: Th Cragun

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant differentiated Cragun's in-store system from Applicant's wide-area system. There was much discussion over the size of text and bar codes. Examiner told Applicant that the same-size as text feature would likely be found in or obvious over the prior art. Examiner will consult with Examiner Thien Le to search for patentable material in spec.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Mark Tremblay
Examiner's Signature